

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 KEITH EMMANUEL, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 KING COUNTY, et al.,

14 Defendants.


CASE NO. C18-0377JLR

ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF
RICHARD HOMCHICK'S
MOTION TO COMPEL

15 Before the court is Plaintiff Richard Homchick's motion to compel Defendant
16 King County to produce a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) designee to testify
17 about Defendants' third affirmative defense and other matters (MTC (Dkt. # 59)). Mr.
18 Homchick filed his motion without first requesting a conference with the court. (*See*
19 Dkt.) The motion therefore contravenes the court's August 13, 2019, scheduling order.
20 (*See* Sched. Order (Dkt. # 53) at 2 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v)) ("[P]ursuant to
21 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, the Court 'direct[s] that before moving for an order
22 relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the court' by notifying

1 [the courtroom deputy]” (second alteration in original)); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P.
2 16(b)(3)(B)(v) (permitting the court, in its scheduling order, to “direct that before moving
3 for an order relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the court”).
4 The court therefore STRIKES Mr. Homchick’s motion (Dkt. # 59) without prejudice to
5 renewing the motion in a manner that comports with the court’s scheduling order.

6 Dated this 18th day of June, 2020.

7
8 

9 JAMES L. ROBART
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22